

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,784	11/13/2001	Christopher A. Wiklof	1788-22-3	1821	
7590 08/05/2005			EXAMINER		
GRAYBEAL JACKSON HALEY LLP			CHERRY, EUNCHA P		
155-108th Avenue N.E., Suite. 350 Bellevue, WA 98004-5901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
20110140, 1111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2872		

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H.H		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Author O	10/007,784	WIKLOF ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		EUNCHA P. CHERRY	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 60) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communicat DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iion.			
Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 I	February 2005					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	, _						
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under	· ·	· ·				
Dienositi	on of Claims						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Annliaati	on Panera	•					
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	·					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·				
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	` '			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached C	Trice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ceived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
- 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
Attachment	R(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: .	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

After careful review of claims, it is found that a restriction requirement is needed. Any inconvenience caused by this action is regretted.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: a beam-sweep mechanism operable to activate the beam-reflector assembly by exerting a first magnetic force on the beam reflector assembly; and

Species 2: a beam-sweep mechanism operable to activate the beam reflector assembly by exerting two magnetic forces (attracting and repelling) on the mirror.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are

generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in

Art Unit: 2872

compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EUNCHA P. CHERRY whose telephone number is 571-272-2310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DREW DUNN can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2872

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EUNCHA P. CHERRY

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872

8/4/05